

## Opponents' Rebuttal Comments from Clint Brown, Civil Engineer, PE

1. Building dimensions - it is common practice, and required by many towns, that site plans have building dimensions included. This allows the PZC, town staff, and the interested public to understand items such as setbacks, coverage, and zoning compliance based on maximum building footprint. The applicant has not provided building dimensions on any of the drawings he has submitted. Furthermore, town staff have requested this information in their early comments on the site plan, but as far as we know, they have not received a response from the applicant. The Opponents have still not seen any dimensioned building plans.
2. Site plans revised 8/9/21 – The site driveway has been relocated north with no explanation provided as to why this is being done. Our traffic engineer, Dan O'Neill is addressing this in his latest presentation.
3. PZC presentation 8/2/21 - This information appears to present a new sightline demonstration based on the relocated drive; it also still shows the road widening as background. There has been no response to our comments on the widening not complying with town standards and not being able to be built as shown. As you see in the updated town engineer comments, he still has questions about slopes. The applicant is on record as needing this widening for safety reasons beyond the sight line issues. They have also demonstrated their inability to widen the road consistent with Town regulations regarding slope steepness and available rights-of-way.